SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright @ 2021 Thursday, February 25, 2021 Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

С

A

STATE OF ORISSA v. ASWINI KUMAR DASH

613

purchase agreement, pointed out that such an agreement has two elements: (1) element of bailment, and (2) element of sale in the sense that it contemplates an eventual sale. In the absence of any element of sale in the present case, we do not see any reason for treating the agreement as "transfer" or disallowing the grant of investment allowance, when the assessee complies with the requirements of Section 32-A. Section 32-A is a beneficial provision in a taxing statute. Full effect, therefore, requires to be given to the language used in Section 32-A. As observed by this Court in b C.A. Abraham v. ITO8 (AIR at p. 612) in interpreting a fiscal statute, the court cannot proceed to make good the deficiencies if there be any. The court must interpret the statute as it stands and in case of doubt, in a manner favourable to the taxpayer. In the present case, the language of Section 32-A covers leasing or finance companies which give the machinery on hire as in the present case.

In the premises, the appeals are dismissed with costs.

## (1998) 3 Supreme Court Cases 613

(BEFORE SUJATA V. MANOHAR AND D.P. WADHWA, JJ.)

STATE OF ORISSA AND ANOTHER

Appellants;

## ASWINI KUMAR DASH AND OTHERS

Respondents.

Civil Appeals No. 8256 of 1996<sup>†</sup> with Nos. 8257, 1658-63 of 1998, 10750-10755 and 12985-12989 of 1996, decided on March 17, 1998

A. Service Law — Pay scale — Aided non-government colleges — Revised UGC pay scales — Fixing a cut-off date for entitlement to — Validity — Provision in Orissa Government resolutions dated 6-10-1989 and 6-11-1990 limiting the benefit of UGC pay scales to teachers serving in colleges which received Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening 3+ Degree Course by 1-4-1989 — Held, neither arbitrary nor unreasonable as the burden of providing grants-in-aid for the said purpose was on the Government and no college receiving concurrence after the prescribed date could claim more grants-in-aid contrary to the policy laid down by the State — Government of Orissa, Education and Youth Services Department Resolution dated 6-11-1990 para 2 & Note thereto and Resolution dated 6-10-1989 — Constitution of India, Art. 14 — Cut-off date — Arbitrariness — Fairness and reasonableness — Education — Grants-in-aid — Colleges, held, cannot claim higher grants-in-aid contrary to the policy laid down by the State — Policy decisions — Universities

B. Service Law — Pay scale — Aided non-government colleges — Revised Affiliated colleges UGC scales — Orissa Government Resolutions dated 6-10-1989 and 6-11-1990 regarding entitlement to - Scope of coverage of teachers under Resolution

<sup>8</sup> AIR 1961 SC 609 : 41 ITR 425 h

<sup>†</sup> From the Judgment and Order dated 4-11-1994 of the Orissa High Court in O.J.C. No. 4085 of



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021
Page 2 Thursday, February 25, 2021
Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA
SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

614

SUPREME COURT CASES

(1998) 3 SCC

dated 6-10-1989, held, not curtailed by Resolution dated 6-11-1990 — Government of Orissa Education and Youth Services Department Resolution dated 6-10-1989, paras 2, 3.1 & 3.3 and Resolution dated 6-11-1990 para 2 & Note thereto — Government of Orissa Education and Youth Services Department circulars dated 27-5-1978 and 17-3-1983 — Universities — Orissa Universities Act, 1989 (5 of 1989), Ss. 2(b), (d) and 18(b) — Education — Orissa Education Act, 1969 (15 of 1969) Ss. 3(b), (d) and 7-D (as amended w.e.f. 21-8-1989)

The respondents who are teachers in aided non-government colleges/educational institutions. They claimed the revised scales of pay recommended by the University Grants Commission on the basis of government resolutions of the State of Orissa in Education and Youth Services Department dated 6-10-1989 and 6-11-1990. The respondents objected to a note attached to para 2 of the government resolution of 6-11-1990 as a result of which the higher pay scales were given to full-time teachers in aided non-government colleges which had received Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening of 3+ Degree course by 1-4-1989 and not thereafter. Their objection was upheld by the High Court. In the instant appeal by the State, the respondents contended that the cut-off date of 1-4-1989 prescribed in the Orissa Government Resolutions dated 6-10-1989 and 6-11-1990 was arbitrary and that the resolution dated 6-11-1990 curtailed the scope of the resolution dated 6-10-1980. Rejecting both these contentions and allowing the appeals, the Supreme Court

In the present case the State Government has decided to provide grants-in-aid to cover the revised UGC scales of pay for those teachers in existing colleges which have received Government concurrence and university affiliation on or before 1-4-1989. The date has a direct nexus with the date of the decision to provide for such higher pay scales in the grants-in-aid to be given to the colleges concerned. The date which is so fixed cannot be considered as arbitrary or unreasonable. Colleges which have secured Government concurrence or affiliation from the university after 1-4-1989, therefore, cannot claim any right to the higher grant-in-aid contrary to the policy as laid down by the State. The High Court was, therefore, not right in coming to the conclusion that the Note to para 2(1) of the government resolution of 6-11-1990, was arbitrary and unreasonable. (Para 13)

In view of the provisions of Sections 2(b), 2(d) and 18(b) of the Orissa Universities Act, 1989, it has to be held that para 3.1 of the resolution dated 6-10-1989 refers to only those aided non-government colleges which are affiliated to a university and are eligible to be covered under direct payment schemes which also have a reference to colleges being admitted to university, prior to 1-4-1989. Under the resolution of 6-10-1989, "plus two" institutions or intermediate colleges were not to be covered; as also those degree colleges which obtained affiliation to the university after 1-4-1989. The resolution of 6-11-1990 which deals with teachers serving in aided non-government colleges of the State provides in para 2 that the instructions in that resolution shall apply to all categories of full-time teachers working in all aided non-government colleges either covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment scheme till 1-4-1989. This language is similar to the language in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989. The Note states that colleges shall mean aided colleges which have been given Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening 3+ degree courses from 1-4-1989 and not thereafter. In view of what has been said in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989, the Note sets out specifically what is implied in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989, as also para 2(1) of the resolution of 6-11-1990 since both are couched in similar language. Sub-paras 2(v) and (vi) are also clarificatory in this context. The



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021 Thursday, February 25, 2021 Page 3 Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

STATE OF ORISSA v. ASWINI KUMAR DASH (Sujata V. Manohar, J.)

resolution of 6-11-1990 therefore, cannot be challenged on the ground that it deprives the teachers of +2 institutions or intermediate colleges of the benefit of the resolution of 6-10-1989 even though their colleges may have received affiliation for degree courses subsequent to 1-4-1989. Neither of the two resolutions intended such colleges to have the benefit of revised pay scales.

Even under the Orissa Education Act which covers all educational institutions including colleges, in view of Sections 3(b), (d) and 7-D thereof, the colleges require recognition under that Act. In order to be eligible to send up its students for a degree they require affiliation to a university under the Orissa Universities Act and for the purposes of grant-in-aid the private colleges require recognition by the State Government under the Orissa Education Act. Although direct payment schemes may apply not merely to degree colleges but to other educational institutions including intermediate colleges which do not require any affiliation to a university, the resolution of 6-10-1989 in para 3.1 refers expressly to affiliated colleges. This affiliation has a reference to affiliation to a university for a degree course. In respect of such colleges, the direct payment scheme requires that the colleges should have concurrence from the Government and university affiliation. Therefore, the two resolutions in question cover the same field and the resolution of 6-11-1990 does not curtail the scope of the resolution of 6-10-1989.

Appeals allowed

H-M/TZ/19439/CLA

615

Advocates who appeared in this case: P.N. Misra and S. Misra, Advocates, for the Appellants;

Jana Kalyan Das, Ajit Pudussery, Advocates, for the Respondents.

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by

SUJATA V. MANOHAR, J .- Delay condoned.

- Leave granted.
- 3. The respondents in these appeals are teachers in aided nongovernment colleges/educational institutions. They claimed the revised scales of pay recommended by the University Grants Commission on the basis of government resolutions of the State of Orissa in Education and Youth Services Department dated 6-10-1989 and 6-11-1990. respondents objected to a note attached to para 2 of the government resolution of 6-11-1990 as a result of which the higher pay scales were given to full-time teachers in aided non-government colleges which had received Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening of 3+ Degree course by 1-4-1989 and not thereafter. The writ petitions of the respondents were allowed by the Orissa High Court. The High Court struck down the note to para 2 of the government resolution of 6-11-1990 and held that the respondents were entitled to higher scales of pay. The judgment of the High Court dated 4-11-1994 in OJCs Nos. 4085-4090 of 1993 which is the subject-matter of Civil Appeal No. 8256 of 1996 has been followed in the impugned High Court judgments in the other appeals. Hence all these appeals have been heard together.
  - 4. The State of Orissa by its resolution dated 6-10-1989, decided to revise pay scales of teachers in colleges. In para 2 of the resolution it is stated that following the appointment of the Fourth Pay Commission for Central Government employees, the University Grants Commission had



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright @ 2021 Page 4 Thursday, February 25, 2021 Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

616

SUPREME COURT CASES

(1998) 3 SCC

appointed a Committee under the Chairmanship of Professor R.C. Mehrotra to examine the present structure of the emoluments and conditions of service of university and college teachers. After considering the recommendations a of the Committee, the University Grants Commission submitted its recommendations to the Government of India for their consideration and implementation. The Government of India communicated its decision on the recommendations of the University Grants Commission to the State Government of Orissa by their letter dated 17-6-1987 and further clarifications on 17-9-1987 and 22-7-1988 and requested the State to b implement the scheme in the State after taking local conditions into consideration. In para 3 it stated that after careful consideration the State Government has been pleased to decide to implement the scheme of revision of pay scales for college teachers on the terms and conditions set out in that resolution. Para 3.1 of the resolution is headed "coverage". It provides as follows:

"Coverage.—The revised scales and other measures improvement of standards in higher education shall be applicable to all categories of full-time teachers working in all affiliated government colleges and aided non-government colleges either covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment schemes till 1-4-1989. The scheme will also be extended to full-time eligible teachers working in the College of d Accountancy and Management Studies, Cuttack."

In para 3.3 pay scales of different categories of teachers in colleges are laid down. Sub-para A deals with general colleges. The designations are of Lecturers, Lecturers (Senior Scale), Lecturers (Selection Grade), Reader. Professor and Principals of colleges. The Principals of colleges are further divided into (i) degree colleges, (ii) 3 postgraduate colleges and (iii) lead colleges situated at State and District Headquarters and colleges having postgraduate courses as per list appended. The list appended is of degree colleges.

5. Thereafter by a government resolution dated 6-11-1990, issued by the Government of Orissa, Education and Youth Services Department, the Government issued instructions to regulate the revision of scales of pay of different categories of teachers serving in aided non-government colleges of the State pursuant to its earlier resolution of 6-10-1989. Para 2 of this resolution is as follows:

"Category of teachers to whom these instructions shall apply.—(1) Save as otherwise provided by or under these instructions, these instructions shall apply to all categories of full-time teachers working in all aided non-government colleges either covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment scheme till the 1st day of April, 1989.

Note.—'Colleges' under these instructions shall mean aided colleges which have been given Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening of 3+ degree courses by the 1st April, 1989 and not thereafter."



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021 Thursday, February 25, 2021 Page 5 Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

h

STATE OF ORISSA v. ASWINI KUMAR DASH (Sujata V. Manohar, J.)

617

Sub-para (2) of para 2 excludes from the purview of the resolution in clause ( $\nu$ ), teachers who are appointed primarily in +2 institutions existing as on 1-4-1989 including intermediate colleges converted +2 institutions and (vi) teachers appointed after 1-4-1989 to teach in +2 courses in existing degree colleges or +2 institutions.

6. The respondents contend that the coverage which was given to the earlier resolution of 6-10-1989 is reduced as a result of the Note which is appended to para 2(1) of the resolution of 6-11-1990. However, under para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989 which deals with the coverage of that resolution, it is stated that the resolution will apply to full-time teachers working, inter alia, in all affiliated aided non-government colleges either covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment schemes till 1-4-1989. The term "affiliated" in para 3.1 has a reference to the Orissa Universities Act, 1989 which came into force on 15-11-1988. Section 2(b) of the Orissa Universities Act, 1989 defines affiliated institutions to mean a college or an institution affiliated to a university whether in whole or in part. While the term "college" is defined in Section 2(d) to mean an institution admitted to a university in accordance with the provisions of this Act and the statutes and includes a college managed by a university, but does not include a school whether it is an independent institution or forms part of a college as d defined herein. The resolution of 6-10-1989, therefore, applied to those aided non-government colleges which were affiliated to a university.

- The reference in para 3.1 to colleges covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment schemes till 1-4-1989 has a reference to the scheme of the Government of Orissa for direct payment of salary by the Government to the teaching and non-teaching staff of aided colleges. The circular letter/order of 27-5-1978 covers those who have been appointed against sanctioned posts and have completed five years from the date of the creation of such posts provided the creation of such posts and the appointments made against them have been duly approved by the competent authority. By a circular letter dated 17-3-1983, the Government of Orissa, Education and Youth Services Department, had clarified Government Order No. 19462-EYS dated 27-5-1978 to the effect that direct payment of full salary cost of the teaching and non-teaching staff of non-government colleges as admissible according to the prescribed yardstick shall be payable to such colleges which have been accorded Government concurrence prior to the academic session 1979-80. The colleges who have received Government concurrence with effect from the academic session 1979-80 or thereafter shall be governed by the new grant-in-aid principles which were as follows:
  - (1) Colleges in advanced areas will receive 1/3rd of the deficit as grant-in-aid after 5 years from the date of Government concurrence (recognition), 2/3rd after 7 years and full deficit after 9 years.
  - (2) In backward areas these periods will be 3, 5 and 7 years respectively.



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021
Page 6 Thursday, February 25, 2021
Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA
SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

SUPREME COURT CASES

(1998) 3 SCC

618

For the purpose of computing qualifying period of 5 years for a college to receive grant-in-aid the date with effect from which the college has been accorded Government concurrence shall be taken into account. This a concurrence has a reference to Section 18(b) of the Orissa Universities Act, 1989. Section 18 deals with admission of educational institutions as colleges, i.e., institutions admitted to a university [vide definition Section 2(d)]. Sub-section (1) provides that no educational institution shall be admitted as a college unless the following conditions are complied with, namely, (a) it is a college recognised by the Government as such, imparting b higher education, (b) concurrence of the Government to the proposal has been obtained. There are other conditions with which we are not concerned.

- 8. Para 3.1, therefore, refers to only those aided non-government colleges which are affiliated to a university and are eligible to be covered under direct payment schemes which also have a reference to colleges being admitted to university, prior to 1-4-1989. Under the resolution of 6-10-1989, c "plus two" institutions or intermediate colleges were not to be covered; as also those degree colleges which obtained affiliation to the university after 1-4-1989.
- 9. The resolution of 6-11-1990 which deals with teachers serving in aided non-government colleges of the State provides in para 2 that the instructions in that resolution shall apply to all categories of full-time teachers working in all aided non-government colleges either covered or eligible to be covered under direct payment scheme till 1-4-1989. This language is similar to the language in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989. The Note states that colleges shall mean aided colleges which have been given Government concurrence and university affiliation for opening 3+ degree courses from 1-4-1989 and not thereafter. In view of what has been said in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989 as explained above, the Note sets out specifically what is implied in para 3.1 of the resolution of 6-10-1989, as also para 2(1) of the resolution of 6-11-1990 since both are couched in similar language. Sub-paras 2(v) and (vi) are also clarificatory in this context. The resolution of 6-11-1990 therefore, cannot be challenged on the ground that it deprives the teachers of +2 institutions or intermediate colleges of the benefit of the resolution of 6-10-1989 even though their colleges may have received affiliation for degree courses subsequent to 1-4-1989. Neither of the two resolutions intended such colleges to have the benefit of revised pay scales under those resolutions.
- 10. In this context our attention was also invited to the Orissa Education Act, 1969 as amended with effect from 21-8-1989. Section 3(b) defines an aided educational institution to mean a private educational institution which is recognised by and is receiving aid from the State Government. A college is defined under Section 3(d) to mean an educational institution imparting instructions in higher general education leading to any degree conferred by any of the universities established under the Orissa Universities Act, 1989. Therefore, an aided college even under the Orissa Education Act will be an institution where instructions in higher general education leading to a



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021
Page 7 Thursday, February 25, 2021
Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA
SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

STATE OF ORISSA v. ASWINI KUMAR DASH (Sujata V. Manohar, J.)

619

university degree is imparted. For this purpose, such a college requires to be affiliated to a university under the Orissa Universities Act, 1989. Section 7-D provides that no private educational institution which has not been recognised by the State Government under this Act shall be entitled to be recognised by any of the universities established under the Orissa Universities Act, 1989 or to receive any aid from the State Government. Therefore, even under the Orissa Education Act which covers all educational institutions including colleges, the colleges require recognition under the Orissa Education Act. In order to be eligible to send up their students for a degree they require affiliation to a university under the Orissa Universities Act and for the purposes of grant-in-aid the private colleges require recognition by the State Government under the Orissa Education Act. Although direct payment schemes may apply not merely to degree colleges but to other educational institutions including intermediate colleges which do not require any affiliation to a university, the resolution of 6-10-1989 in para 3.1 refers expressly to affiliated colleges. This affiliation has a reference to affiliation to a university for a degree course. In respect of such colleges, the direct payment scheme requires that the colleges should have concurrence from the Government and university affiliation. Therefore, these two resolutions cover the same field and the resolution of 6-11-1990 does not curtail the scope of the resolution of 6-10-1989.

11. It is next contended by the respondents that the cut-off date of 1-4-1989 prescribed in these two resolutions is arbitrary and irrational. It has deprived teachers of aided non-government colleges who were affiliated after 1-4-1989 from the benefit of the two resolutions. In the case of some of the teachers who are before us, the college in which they are working had applied for affiliation prior to 1-4-1989 but received affiliation at a later date. In case of other colleges, the application for affiliation as well as affiliation are from a later date. The respondents contend that at least in the case of those colleges which had already applied for affiliation prior to 1-4-1989, the teachers should get the benefit of revised UGC scales under the two resolutions. They rely upon a clarification issued by the Government of Orissa, Education Department, dated 22-8-1991 in respect of the resolution of 6-11-1990 where it is stated that the revised UGC scales of pay 1986 will be applicable to the teachers of a degree college which has received Government concurrence and university affiliation by 1-4-1989, irrespective of whether it has got temporary/provisional/permanent concurrence/ affiliation. The clarification, however, cannot apply to a college which had merely applied for affiliation but had not received any kind of affiliation by 1-4-1989.

12. The only other contention relates to the arbitrariness of the cut-off date of 1-4-1989. In this connection, the appellants have pointed out that the resolutions deal with the quantum of grant-in-aid which the State will provide, inter alia, to aided non-government colleges; and the basis on which such grant-in-aid will be provided. For this purpose the State will provide for revised scales of pay as per the University Grants Commission's



SCC Online Web Edition, Copyright © 2021 Page 8 Thursday, February 25, 2021 Printed For: ADVOCATE GENERAL ODISHA SCC Online Web Edition: http://www.scconline.com

TruePrint™ source: Supreme Court Cases

SUPREME COURT CASES

(1998) 3 SCC

620

recommendation. The State Government has framed a scheme for such grants-in-aid looking to its own financial resources and the number of educational institutions to which it will be required to give such grant. No a educational institution can claim grant-in-aid as a matter of right. This is a matter of policy which the State Government will decide looking to its financial capacity and other relevant circumstances. There may be, as a result, differences in the pay scales of teachers of colleges affiliated prior to 1-4-1989 and colleges affiliated subsequently, although neither of the resolutions prevent the colleges from giving higher pay scales if they so b desire. In this context, the appellants have pointed out that even the extent of grant-in-aid varies from college to college, depending, for example, upon the number of years for which the college has been functioning. Since the entire burden of providing grants-in-aid is now on the State, the State regulates by policy the extent of aid and the colleges to which it will be given.

13. In the present case the State Government has decided to provide c grants-in-aid to cover the revised UGC scales of pay for those teachers in existing colleges which have received Government concurrence and university affiliation on or before 1-4-1989. The date has a direct nexus with the date of the decision to provide for such higher pay scales in the grant-inaid to be given to the colleges concerned. The date which is so fixed cannot be considered as arbitrary or unreasonable. Colleges which have secured d Government concurrence or affiliation from the university after 1-4-1989, therefore, cannot claim any right to the higher grant-in-aid contrary to the policy as laid down by the State. The High Court was, therefore, not right in coming to the conclusion that the Note to para 2(1) of the government resolution of 6-11-1990, was arbitrary and unreasonable.

14. The appeals are, therefore, allowed. The impugned judgments and orders of the High Court are set aside and the writ petitions filed by the respondents before the High Court are dismissed. There will, however, be no order as to costs.

## (1998) 3 Supreme Court Cases 620

(BEFORE SUJATA V. MANOHAR AND D.P. WADHWA, JJ.)

S. RAJENDRAN

Appellant;

f

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

Respondents.

. .

Civil Appeals Nos. 5736-5737 of 1994<sup>†</sup>, decided on February 11, 1998

Service Law — Reservations/Concessions — SCs and STs — Carry forward of reserved point — Time limit for — Three recruitment years — Reserved vacancy occurring in 1990 but reserved candidate not yet eligible - Proposal for dereservation also not agreed to - A general category candidate therefore promoted on ad hoc basis - The reserved point required to be carried forward

† From the Judgment and Order dated 25-4-1994 of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras in R.As. Nos. 7 and 22 of 1994